DISTRIBUTION	ALL CHIEF OFFICERS HOMS HPR	**LONDON BOROUGH OF HOUNSLOW** **Heston and Cranford Area Committee**	CONTACT: APPROVED:	EXT: DATE:

Thursday, 31 May 2012

DECISION SHEET

THE DECISIONS TAKEN REQUIRE ACTION BY THE FOLLOWING

ITEM NO	SUBJECT	DECISION	ACTION
		All recommendations in the reports considered by the meeting were approved subject to the following amendments/additions	
2	Appointment of the Chair for the Municipal Year 2012/13	**Chair -** Councillor Gopal Dhillon	
3	Appointment of the Vice-Chair for the Municipal Year 2012/2013	**Vice Chair** - Councillor Rajawat	
4	Area Committee Appointments for the New Municipal Year 2012/13	**S106 Sub Group** - Deferred to next meeting when there will be more Councillors present (hopefully) **Sustainable Development Enforcement Sub-Committee** - Councillor Rajawat **Community Investment Advisory Panel** - Councillor Chatt **East Housing Forum** - Deferred to next meeting when there will be more Councillors present **Police Consultative Group** - Councillor Sangha **Housing Strategy Forum** - Deferred to next meeting when there will be more	

REF NO	SUBJECT	DECISION	ACTION
		Councillors present	
5	Minutes of the meeting held on 22 March 2012	The minutes of the meting held on 22 March 2012 were agreed as an accurate record.	
9	Bus Stop Improvement Proposals	**Resolved –** That the Committee 1.1 Noted the proposed details for bus stop improvements on Cranford Lane and Springwell Road. 1.2 Approved the five bus stop improvement and clearway proposals at an estimated cost of £10,000, as set out in the report and on Attachments A-C.	
10	Western International Market, Hayes Road, Southall	Members made the following comments: • Members expressed concern that the consultation had not been carried out to a wide enough area. • Members expressed concern about the increase of traffic to an already congested area. • Members felt there would be an increase in congestion and traffic which would adversely affect local residents. • Members expressed concerns about the congestion that would be caused by large Lorries delivering goods to the site. • Members did not feel that the amount of parking proposed was adequate for the site. • Members felt that any employment opportunities generated at the site should be ring fenced for local people; otherwise there would be no benefit of the scheme for local residents. • Members felt very disappointed by the piecemeal approach submitted by the developer for the site. • Members requested that a response from the traffic section be provided in response to concerns regarding traffic and congestion in the area.	